

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/788,339	02/21/2001	Sadaji Tsuge	P107336-00018	1063
Ī	590 01/07/2003			
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			EXAMINER	
Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			MUTSCHLER, BRIAN L	
Washington, D	C 20036-5339		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, <del></del>			>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/788,339	TSUGE, SADAJI	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian L. Mutschler	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply	to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	date of the final rejection E FINAL REJECTION. S	n. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The office have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the c	extension and the corresponding amoune shortened statutory period for reply contains the mails after the mails	unt of the fee. The approp	oriate extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancelin	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	n(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed an	nendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for r application in condition for allowance because: See	econsideration has been consid Continuation Sheet.	lered but does NOT p	place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becarraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would have a supplementation of the proposed amendment of the proposed ame	s) a) will not be entered or b) lill be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered and vor appended.	ł an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	)☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examine	Г.
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		•	,
0. ☐ Other:	(-/( · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The instant claims are not distinguished over the prior art of record. Encapsulating is a known method for making solar cell modules using solar cells. The novelty of the instant invention lies in the structure of the solar cell itself. Asano et al. teach a solar cell having a crystalline substrate and an amorphous semiconductor layer, wherein a semiconductor junction is formed between the substrate and the semiconductor layer. Regarding the position of the substrate and semiconductor layer, Asano et al. teach that the grid electrode, i.e., the light-incident side, can be fabricated on either side of semiconductor junction. Furthermore, the module of Hanoka et al. is capable of allowing light to enter from either side. It is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use various configurations of crystalline solar cell elements within the module of Hanoka et al. because the module of Hanoka et al. "is used to protect the brittle [crystalline] silicon solar cells from breakage and to help seal these cells into the overall module structure" (US 6.353.042, col. 1, lines 31-35).

Regarding claim 6, since the solar cell element is a single crystalline silicon solar cell element, one side of the substrate must be on the light incident side because the single crystal silicon substrate comprises the entire substrate. Matsushita et al. further disclose that single crystalline, crystalline and amorphous silicon solar cell elements may also be equivalently used, as well as composites comprising more than one type of material.

NAM NGUYEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700